

Martha Arellano, MD

Professor and Associate Director, Hematology Fellowship Program Director, Hematology/Oncology Winship Cancer Institute of Emory University July 25, 2024





Disclosures

Syndax Pharmaceuticals-served on advisory board panel on menin inhibition in leukemia

Objectives

 Describe the clinical presentation and diagnostic evaluation of MDS

- Discuss classification of MDS and tools to assess disease risk
- Summarize treatment options for patients with MDS, based on risk





Case 1

69-year-old man, saw his PCP for yearly check-up, reported mild fatigue

РМН	SocHx	FHx	Meds	Exam
HTN	40 pk-yr tobacco	GF-prostate CA	B-blocker	ECOG= 1
Hyperlipidemia	Occ. alcohol		Baby ASA	Otherwise NL

- WBC 4.2, ANC 2.2k, HGB 9, MCV 109, PLT 140k
- Normal: B12/folate/TSH/Copper/HIV/Hepatitis





Case 1

- Marrow was 80% cellular.
- Dysplasia in > 20% of megakaryocytic and erythroid lineage.
- Blasts 3% on aspirate smear, 5% by flow cytometry.
- Karyotype: 46, XY [21].
- NGS myeloid panel not sent.





Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS)

- Incidence in the US approx. 4:100,000
 (approx. 75:100,000 among persons <a> 65 y/o)
- Risk factors:
 - -Age (median age 71-76)
 - Genetics (esp. in younger pts.)
 - Environmental exposures
 - Prior chemo, XRT (t-MDS)







Differential dx. of Suspected MDS



Arellano & Dyer 2021. Fast Facts MDS (Publisher- S. Karger)

Minimum Work-up and Diagnostic Criteria for MDS

- Bone marrow biopsy and aspirate
- Karyotype (at least 20 metaphases)*
- Myeloid mutation panel
- Dysplasia in ≥ 10% of cells in ≥ 1 myeloid lineage or persistent cytopenia (s) with a defining MDS-related genetic abnormality.
- Blasts % from aspirate differential (not flow cytometry).

- * Flourescence in situ hybridization (FISH) only useful if karyotype fails.
- * Microarray may be useful in certain cases.



Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Stein H, et al. WHO classification of tumours: Pathology and genetics of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. Annals of Oncology. 2002;13(3):490-491. DOI: 10.1093



WHO Classification of MDS- 2022

MDS with defining genetic abnormalities	Blasts	Cytogenetics	Mutations	
MDS-5q	< 5% BM and < 2% PB	del(5q) only or with 1 other abnormality except -7 or -7q		
MDS- <i>SF3B1</i> (> 15% RS may substitute)	< 5% BM and < 2% PB	No del(5q), -7, or complex karyotype	SF3B1	
MDS-bi <i>TP53</i>	< 20% BM and < 2% PB	Usually complex	2 TP53 mutations or 1 mutation and TP53 copy # loss or cnLOH	
MDS, morphologically defined				
MDS-LB (low blasts)	< 5% BM and < 2% PB			
MDS-h (hypoplastic)		< 25% marrow cellularity, age adjusted. Can benefit from Immunotherapy		
MDS-IB1	5-9% BM or 2-4% PB			
MDS-IB2	10-19% BM, 5-19% PB, Auer rods			
MDS-f	5-19% BM, 2-19% PB			

- MDS-IB2 may be regarded as AML-equivalent for therapeutic considerations
- BM= bone marrow, PB= peripheral blood, IB= increased blasts, cnLOH= copy neutral loss of heterozygosity



Defining Risk in MDS

Patient-related Factors	Disease-related Factors
Symptomatology -Symptomatic anemia -Bleeding -Infection	Disease classification -Ring sideroblasts -Hypocellular -Blast %
Age Fitness	Disease risk score -IPSS-R -IPSS-M
Co-morbid conditions	Transfusion requirements
Social determinants	Inherited syndrome (s)





Driver Mutations in MDS, IPSS-M



Years

• 46% of pts. re-stratified into 6 categories.

VL, very low, N= 344; L, low, N=852; ML, moderate low, N= 296; MH, moderate high, N= 278; H, high, N= 367; VH, very high, 460

WINSHIP	https://mds-risk-model.com
CANCER INSTITUTE	E. Bernard, H. Tuechler, P. L. Greenberg, M, et al. NEJM Evid 2022; 1(7)DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/EVIDoa2200008 $ m NCP$

Case 1 cont. IPSS-R

- ANC 2,200, hemoglobin 9, platelets 140,000
- Bone marrow: 80% cellular, 3% blasts
- Karyotype: 46, XY [21].

Variable	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	3	4
CTG	Very good	-	<mark>Good</mark>	-	Interm.	Poor	Very poor
%BM blasts	<u><</u> 2%	-	<mark>> 2 - < 5%</mark>	-	5 - 10%	> 10%	-
Hgb.	<u>></u> 10	-	<mark>8 - < 10</mark>	< 8	-	-	-
Platelets	<u>> 100</u>	50 - 99	< 50	-	-	-	-
ANC	<mark>≥ 0.8</mark>	< 0.8	-	-	-	-	-

Cytogenetic (CTG) groupings:

-Very good: -Y, del(11q)
-Good: NL, del(5q), del(12p), del(20q), double incl. del (5q)
-Intermediate: del(7q), +8, +19, i(17q), any other single or double clone
-Poor: -7, inv(3)/t(3q)/del(3q), double incl. -7/del(7q)

-Very poor: complex (> 3 abn)

Risk Category	Risk Score
Very Low	<u><</u> 1.5
<mark>Low</mark>	<mark>2- 3</mark>
Intermediate	3.5 - 4.5
High	5- 6
Very High	> 6



MDS Treatment - The 1st Question

- Is the patient a candidate for Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation?
 - Age up to 70-75
 - Fit patient (comorbidity score)
 - Intermediate to high-risk disease
 (IPSS-R > 3.5, IPSS-M > 0)



• BMT CTN 1102:

EMORY WINSHIP

- OS at 3 years 47.9% in donor arm vs. 26.6% in no-donor arm.
- LFS at 3 years 35.8% (donor) vs. 20.6% (no donor).



Approved Treatments for MDS



EMORY WINSHIP CANCER INSTITUTE



Options for Lower Risk MDS

Agent	Responses	Comments
rHEPO	25-40% (less in RS)	•Nordic score still useful to predict response. +/- G-CSF
ATG+/- CSP	CR 24-48%	•Younger age, low risk MDS-h, ?HLA-DR15, ?PNH clone
TPO mimetics	Evolving field	•Useful under certain conditions
Lenalidomide	43-76% TI	•Best in 5q-, younger age, shorter MDS duration, lower transfusion needs. Cytopenias can be an issue.
Luspatercept	2 nd line: RBC TI ≥ 8 wks. 38% vs. 13%. -RBC TI ≥ 12 wks. 28% vs. 8%.	1st line: TI for \geq 12 wks in the first 24 weeks + rise in HGB \geq 1.5 g/dL in 60.4% with Lus vs. 34.8% with epo . •TI in RS+ 65% Lus/29% epo . TI in RS- 46% Lus/50% (epo) . •81% vs. 51% ANC increase, 71% vs. 42% PLT increase.
Imetelstat vs. placebo	-RBC-TI ≥ 8 wks 40% vs. 15%	 Benefit in pts. with high transfusion burden. Thrombocytopenia/neutropenia an issue (74-75%).

TPO = Thrombopoietin, ESA = Erythropioesis stimulating agent, TI = transfusion independence

 EMORY WINSHIP CANCER INSTITUTE
 Zeidan AM, et al. ASCO Annual Meeting. 2023 (abstr 7004), MG DellaPorta, G Garcia-Manero, V Santinin, et al. Lancet Haematology 2024. https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanhae/article/PIIS2352-3026(24)00203-5/abstract , Sloand et al. JCO 2008 Passweg et al. JCO 2011
 P Fenaux, U Platzbecker,GJ Mufti et al. blood-2018-99-110805, EN Olivia, et al. JCO 41, 4486-4496(2023).DOI: 10.1200/JCO.22.0269



Balleari et al. Ann Hematol 2005 Sekeres Leukemia 2012 List et al. NEJM 2006, G. Garcia-Manero J Clin Oncol 41, 2023 (suppl 16; abstr 7003)

Hypomethylating agents



Cedazuridine

Cytidine analogues (pyrimidine nucleoside):

EMORY WINSHIP

- Cytotoxic at high doses, induce DNA damage response.
- At low doses, inhibit DNA methyltransferases.
- Cedazuridine inhibits cytidine deaminase in gut and liver, prevents degradation of decitabine (orally bioavailable).



Ivosidenib for MDS with IDH1mut

Efficacy outcomes	MDS efficacy set N = 18	95% CI			
CR+PR	7 (38.9%)	(17.3, 64.2)			
Time to CR/PR	1.87 mos. (1-5.6 mos.)	(58.6, 96.4)			
Duration of CR/PR	NR (1.9, NR)				
Best response					
ORR	15 (83.3)	(58.6, 96.4)			
CR	7 (38.9)	(17.3, 64.3)			
mCR	8 (44.4)	(21.5, 96.2)			
HI in non-CR/PR pts	4 (36.4)				

- 79% pts. enrolled had HMA failure.
- AEs of interest: differentiation syndrome in 2 (10.5%)
- Median OS 86.9% at 1-year, 46.3% at 7 years.

HI = Hematologic improvement

S EMORY

WINSHIP

INSTITUTE

DiNardo CD, Roboz GJ, Watts JM, et al. Blood Adv. 2024. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2023012302.



69 y/o man with good performance status and low risk MDS

- Receives epo followed by azacitidine after 4 months.
 - Became pancytopenic.
- BM bx was 30% cellular, 15% blasts
- Karyotype: 47, XY, +8 [12]/46, XY [8]
- NGS: *IDH1-*R132
- -Clinical trial of ivosidenib for R/R MDS with *IDH1* mutation. -Achieved CR and proceeded to allo-HCT.

Early assessment of transplant eligibility is important (esp. in higher risk MDS).

NGS can provide prognostic and therapeutic information.





How I Assess and Treat MDS



INSTITUTE



QUESTIONS? Martha Arellano 678-886-0009



