When to Use Bispecifics and CAR-Ts in Myeloma?

DDHO 2024

Madhav V. Dhodapkar, MD Emory

Disclosures

Advisory board: Janssen, Sanofi, Kite, Lava Therapeutics

Outline

- A bit of biology
- Key data for T cell redirection in MM
- Clinical considerations for choices*

* My opinions

Key requirements for Regional Immunity in MM



MM but not MGUS tumors grow as clusters that exclude T cells...both in patients and model systems.

Determinants of T cell entry:

Target recognition / specificity

Immune synapse

In situ stimulation / Ag

In-situ regulation of T cell entry provides mechanistic basis for CART and bispecifics in MM.

CAR-Ts and Bispecifics: Effective but Distinct Approaches for T-cell Redirection



Some Biologic Differences In T Cell Redirection Approaches in MM

	Bispecifics	CAR-T cells
Number of Redirected/Engaged T cells	+++	++
Costimulation	+	++
Need for Ongoing Rx for Sustained Redirection	Yes	No
Engagement of endogenous immunity	Yes	Yes
Targets	BCMA, GPRC5D	BCMA

Current Options for T Cell Redirection in MM

BCMA CAR-Ts	Ciltacel	Idecel
Current Label	<u>></u> 1 prior line	<u>></u> 2 prior lines
Prior Rx	PI and IMID	PI, IMID and anti-CD38
Pivotal data	Ph 3 Cartitude-4	Ph 3 KarMMA-3

Bispecifics	Teclistamab	Elranatamab	Talquetamab
Current Label	> 4 prior lines	<u>></u> 4 prior lines	<u>></u> 4 prior lines
Prior Rx	PI, IMID, anti-CD38	PI, IMID, anti-CD38	PI, IMID, anti-CD38
Target	BCMA	BCMA	GPRC5D
Pivotal data	Ph 2 MajesTec	Ph 2 MagnetisMM	Ph 2 MonumenTal

Cilta-Cel v Standard Care in Lenalidomide-Refractory Multiple Myeloma: Cartitude-4



group

Ide-Cel v Standard Regimens in Relapsed Multiple Myeloma: KarMMa-3



Study Schema

Progression-free Survival



Some Differences Between Karmma3 and Cartitude4

KarMMa-3 ¹		CARTITUDE-4 ²
2-4	Prior Lines of Therapy	1-3
Triple-class Exposed (incl CD38)	Prior Treatment Exposure	PI, IMiD exposed, LEN refractory
Not required; only 1 cycle allowed	Bridging Requirement	Required per protocol; 80% received 2 or 3 Cycles
PFS in ITT, <u>including</u> patients who were apheresed but not infused with Ide-cel	Primary Endpoint Analysis Methodology	PFS HR excludes any events within the first 8 weeks of randomization
Allowed; 56% in SR arm went on to receive Ide-cel	cross-over	Not permitted per protocol

1. Rodriguez-Otero P et al. NEJM 2023;389 (11): 1002-1014. 2. San-Miguel J et al. NEJM 2023;389(4): 335-347

Teclistamab in Relapsed Multiple Myeloma (MajesTEC)



Moreau et al NEJM 2022

Elranatamab in Relapsed Myeloma: MagnetisMM-1 Trial



Bahlis et al, Nat Med 2023

	Teclistamab	Elranantamab	
Mechanism of action	BCMA-directed CD3 T-cell engager	BCMA-directed CD3 T-cell engager	
FDA approval	R/R MM after at least 4 LOT including a PI, IMID, and an anti-CD38 mAb	R/R MM after at least 4 LOT including a PI, IMID, and an anti-CD38 mAb	
REMS requirement	Yes	Yes	
Boxed warning	CRS, ICANS	CRS, ICANS	
Recommended admission duration during Schedule For 48 hours after administration of all step-up doses		For 48 hours after administration of first step-up dose and 24 hours after second	
Dosing schedule	Day 1: 0.06 mg/kg Day 4: 0.3 mg/kg Day 7: 1.5 mg/kg Weekly dosing starting one week after first treatment dose; may adjust to every other week dosing for patients who achieve and maintain CR or better for at least 6 months	Day 1: 12 mg Day 4: 32 mg Day 8: 76 mg Weekly dosing starting one week after first treatment dose until week 24, then every other week starting at week 25	
Treatment duration	Until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity	Until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity	

Linvoseltamab in Relapsed Myeloma



Talquetamab: GPRC5D-Targeting Bispecific in Relapsed MM



Chari et al. NEJM 2022

	CAR T-Cell The	rapies	Bispecific Therapies		
Feature	Commercial	Investigational	Commercial	Investigational	
FDA-approved indication	After four or more previous lines, including an IMiD, PI, and anti-CD38 mAb (ide-cel and cilta-cel)	NA	After four or more previous lines, including an IMiD, PI, and anti-CD38 mAb (teclistamab)	NA	
Hospitalization	Yes	Yes	Yes (for step-up dosing during cycle 1)	Generally, yes for initial dose	
Treatment frequency	Once	Generally, once	Weekly	Every 1-3 weeks	
Lymphodepletion	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Manufacturing time	4-6 weeks	None (allogeneic)- approximately 4 weeks	None (off the shelf)	None (off the shelf)	
Manufacturing failure	Approximately 10%	Variable	NA	NA	
Wait list for commercial manufacturing slots	Yes	NA	NA	NA	
Overall response rate, %	73-98	>70	63	Approximately 50-70	
Median progression-free survival	8.8 -34.9 months	NR	11.3 months	NR	
Cytokine release syndrome (grade all/≥3), %	Approximately 85-95/5	Approximately 40-100/<1-7	72/1	Approximately 25-85/0-2	
ICANS and/or neurotoxicity (grade all/≥3), %	Approximately 20/3-12	Approximately 2-30/0-3	15/1	Approximately 2-15/0-1	
Infections (grade all/≥3), %	Approximately 60-70/~20	Approximately 20-55/12-30 and NR	76/45	Approximately 35-60/ approximately 7-30	
Hypogammaglobulinemia (all grade), %	21 and NR	7-24 and often NR	15	14-77 and often NR	
Data on minority/underserved populations	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	
Data on frail patients	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	
Features under development		Novel targets Faster manufacturing Dual-targeting Allogeneic products		Trispecific Novel targets	

Infectious Complications Following BCMA-directed Therapies



Nath et al. Blood Cancer J 2024 14:88

Sequencing T cell Redirection in MM



Mohan et al, ASCO Educational Program 2024

Some Key Considerations For Choosing T Cell Redirection Strategy

General:

Frailty, comorbidities, distance to center, caregiver access, patient preference

Disease:

Prior LOT (linked to current FDA approval), prior target-directed Rx (e.g. bcma), prior bispecific, tempo of dz, availability of effective bridge Rx, cytogenetic risk, EMD, antigen-loss,

Agent:

Target expression pattern/biology and related toxicity considerations (e.g. dysgeusia, weight loss with GPRC5D; ? Less infections with GPRC5D

Sequencing considerations

Host:

Lymphopenia

Prior / concurrent therapy

Variables that impact choice of CART v bispecific

	Favors CART	Favors bispecific
1-2 prior Lines BCMA naive		Current approval 4+ lines
Sequencing considerations		
Need for urgent Rx		
Lack of effective bridge Rx		
Too frail for CART		
Prefer one and done		
BCMA resistant Dz		
High risk and EMD		
Outpatient administration		
Rx in community		

Integrating MM immunology For Choice of Immune Therapies

Immune- Permissive	lmmu Exclu	ine- In ded Suj	nmune- opressed	Immune- Depleted	Immune Resistar	t Tumor cells Ag-loss/mutant Tumor
						 TCF1+ T Term Diff T Treg Clec9a+DCs
	Immune - permissive	Immune-excluded	Immune-suppressed	Immune-deplete	Immune-resistant	📥 Myeloid Supp
Proposed Defining Feature(s)	T cell hotspots with infiltration and Clec9a DCs, lack of terminal diff. T cell clones	T cells at tumor margins without infiltration, lack of Clec9a DCs	Inhibitory myeloid infiltration, immune suppressive cells, T cell exhaustion	Systemic and regional lymphoid depletion	Loss of T cell redirection target, Resistance to immune recognition	
Clinical Aspects	Expected favorable course, earlier in disease evolution.	? biology similar to extramedullary plasmacytomas	Potentially diverse mechanisms	Lymphopenia, ? with impaired hematopoiesis, extreme age, frailty, prior extensive chemotherapy	Target-specific loss or mutations in targets for T cell redirection.	
Response to T cell redirection	Yes. Durable responses	Yes, but may not be durable	Yes, but not durable	Unlikely. High risk of CAR-T manufacturing failure.	No, but resistance may be limited to specific targets	
Possible solutions / therapeutic goals	Target early eradication of residual disease for possible cures.	Enhance T cell entry, DC recruitment	Combinations to overcome suppression. Optimal combinations may be pathway/mechanism	Direct tumor targeting, restore lympho- hematopoiesis.	Alternate targets or combinatorial targeting. Alternate immune cells (e.g. NK/NK-T)	Dhodapkar M, Blood Adv 2024

Conclusions

- T cell redirection by bispecifics and CART as highly effective therapy for MM.
- Choice of preferred approach is impacted by several factors.
- Early referral to a center with capacity for CAR-T should be considered, preferably one line prior to approved indication.
- Opportunity for collaborative management / care delivery with community, particularly with bispecifics.

Acknowledgments

- Myeloma Team at Emory
 - MDs, Nursing, Pharmacy, Other staff
- Community collaborators

With emerging immune therapies, close collaboration between academia and industry is even more paramount to help improve outcomes.