

Washington University in St. Louis SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

BISPECIFIC ANTIBODIES FOR FOLLICULAR LYMPHOMA

David Russler-Germain, MD/PhD Instructor, Division of Oncology



AT ST. LOUIS Childre S HOSPITAL Washington University Physicians National Comprehensive Cancer Network*



A Cancer Center Designated by the National Cancer Institute

Disclosures

Research funding: Lymphoma Research Foundation, Institute for Follicular Lymphoma Innovation, Genentech

Advisory board: AstraZeneca

FL Bispecifics: Mechanism and Design

- Typically IgG antibody format
- Bispecific binding to surface antigens
 - Tumor-associated (target) = CD20
 - Pan-T cell (effector) = CD3



FL Bispecifics: Mechanism and Design

Agent	Structure	Anti-CD3 Clone	Anti-CD20 Clone	Status (as of 3/2024)
Mosunetuzumab	lgG1	UCHT1 (CD3ε)	2H7 Epitope shared w/ rituximab	FDA accelerated approval 12/2022
Epcoritamab	lgG1	SP34-der (CD3ε)	7D8 Epitope shared w/ ofatumumab	FDA priority review 2/2024
Odronextamab	lgG4	REG1250 (CD3δε)	3B9-10 Epitope shared w/ ofatumumab	FDA priority review 9/2023

Russler-German, DA, et al. Frontiers Oncol 2023.

FL Bispecifics: Administration

	Route of Admin		Treatment Duration	CRS Mitigation			
Agent	IV	SC	Time Limited	Step-up Dosing	Mandated Steroids	Minimum Required Pred Equiv.	
Mosunetuzumab	Y	Y	Y	C1 D1/8/15 C2-8 D1 (C9-17 D1 if PR)	Dex 20 mg for all C1-2 doses	533 mg	
Epcoritamab	N	Y	Ν	C1-3 D1/8/15/22 C4-9 D1/15 C10+ D1	Pred 100 mg daily x4 for all C1 doses	1600 mg	
Odronextamab	Y	Y	Ν	C1 D1/2/8/9/15/16 C2-4 D1/8/15 C5+ Q2W vs Q4W	Dex 20 mg on the day before, of, and after all C1 plus C2 D1 doses	2000 mg	

Impressive outcomes in phase 2 studies in rel/ref FL after 2+ prior lines of therapy

Agent	N	Overall Response Rate	Complete Response Rate	Median Follow-up (months)	Median PFS (months)	Median DoCR (months)
Mosunetuzumab ¹	90	78%	60%	37.4	24.0	Not reached
Epcoritamab ²	128	82%	63%	17.4	15.4	Not reached
Odronextamab ³	128	81%	73%	17.7	20.7	Not reached

¹Schuster, SJ, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT02500407). ²Linton, KM, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03625037). ³Villasboas, JC, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03888105).

Impressive outcomes in phase 2 studies in rel/ref FL after 2+ prior lines of therapy

Agent	N	Overall Response Rate	Complete Response Rate	Median Follow-up (months)	Median PFS (months)	Median DoCR (months)
Mosunetuzumab ¹	90	78%	60%	37.4	24.0	Not reached
Epcoritamab ²	128	82%	63%	17.4	15.4	Not reached
Odronextamab ³	128	81%	73%	17.7	20.7	Not reached

¹Schuster, SJ, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT02500407). ²Linton, KM, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03625037). ³Villasboas, JC, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03888105).

Impressive outcomes in phase 2 studies in rel/ref FL after 2+ prior lines of therapy

- Mosunetuzumab
 - Median time to first response: 1.4 months (1.0–11)
 - Median time to first CR: 3.0 months (1.0–19)



Bartlett, NL, et al. ASH 2022 (NCT02500407). Schuster, SJ, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT02500407).

Impressive outcomes in phase 2 studies in rel/ref FL after 2+ prior lines of therapy



24 67.8)

Villasboas, JC, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03888105). Linton, KM, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03625037).

FL Bispecifics: Safety

Predictable toxicity profile

• CRS, fatigue, headache, neutropenia, hypophosphatemia, rash, nausea/diarrhea/constipation

Acont	N	Related AE Leading to Discont.	Cytokine Release Syndrome				Neurologic
Agent			Any Grade	Gr 1	Gr 2	Gr 3+	AEs
Mosunetuzumab ¹	90	2%	44%	26%	17%	2%	<5% Any ICANS?
Epcoritamab ²	128	19% ~½ COVID-19	67%	65%	2%	0%	<5% Any ICANS?
Odronextamab ³	128	8%	57%	45%	10%	2%	<5% Any ICANS?

¹Schuster, SJ, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT02500407). ²Linton, KM, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03625037). ³Villasboas, JC, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT03888105).

FL Bispecifics: Safety

No required hospitalization

- Median time to CRS onset (C1 D1): 5 hours (1–24)
- Median time to CRS onset (C1 D15): 27 hours (0-391)



Bartlett, NL, et al. ASH 2022 (NCT02500407). Schuster, SJ, et al. ASH 2023 (NCT02500407).

FL Bispecifics: Logistics

Community vs. specialty center

- Mosunetuzumab
 - Required steroids: 11%
 - Required tocilizumab: 8%
- Unaddressed issues at non-specialty centers
 - Familiarity with recognizing CRS (vs. typical infectious etiologies for fevers)
 - Bandwidth for managing CRS (e.g., after-hours exchange, "urgent care" inperson evaluations, access to tocilizumab)
- Potential solutions
 - 'Pill in pocket' PRN steroids for in-home CRS care
 - Shared management (e.g., C1-3 at specialty center, C4+ at community center)

- Mosunetuzumab clinical trials
 - Frontline setting
 - Mosunetuzumab monotherapy (phase 2)
 - Mosunetuzumab + response-adapted obinutuzumab/polatuzumab vedotin (phase 2)
 - Mosunetuzumab + lenalidomide (phase 2)
 - Mosunetuzumab + polatuzumab vedotin (phase 2)
 - Mosunetuzumab + tazemetostat (phase 2)
 - Mosunetuzumab vs. SOC rituximab (phase 3; planned)
 - Mosunetuzumab + lenalidomide vs. SOC rituximab + chemotherapy (phase 3; planned)
 - Relapsed/refractory setting
 - Mosunetuzumab + lenalidomide vs. SOC rituximab + lenalidomide (phase 3)

- Epcoritamab clinical trials
 - Frontline setting
 - Epcoritamab + rituximab + lenalidomide (phase 2)
 - Epcoritamab + lenalidomide (phase 2)
 - Epcoritamab + rituximab (phase 2)
 - Epcoritamab + rituximab + lenalidomide vs. SOC anti-CD20 + chemo or lenalidomide (phase 3)
 - Relapsed/refractory setting
 - Epcoritamab + rituximab + lenalidomide vs. SOC rituximab + lenalidomide (phase 3)
 - Epcoritamab vs. SOC anti-CD20 + chemo or lenalidomide (phase 2)

- Odronextamab clinical trials
 - Frontline setting
 - Odronextamab vs. SOC rituximab + CHOP/CVP/bendamustine (phase 2/3)
 - Odronextamab + CHOP/CVP vs. SOC rituximab + CHOP/CVP (phase 2/3)
 - Relapsed/refractory setting
 - Odronextamab + lenalidomide vs. SOC rituximab + lenalidomide (phase 2/3)

Axi-cel CAR T cells (ZUMA-5) — is all that glitters really gold?

	FL (n = 127)
ORR, n (%)	119 (94)
CR	100 (79)
PR	19 (15)
SD, n (%)	2 (2)
PD, n (%)	2 (2)
Not done, n (%)	4 (3)
DOR, median (95% CI), mo	38.6 (29.0-NE)
Estimate at 36 mo (95% CI), %	57 (47-66)
Duration of CR, median (95% CI), mo	NR (35.4-NE)
Estimate at 36 mo (95% CI), %	62 (48-72)
Duration of PR, median (95% CI), mo	4.9 (2.2-8.2)
Estimate at 36 mo (95% CI), %	NR (NE-NE)



Neelapu, SS, et al. Blood 2024.

Axi-cel CAR T cells (ZUMA-5) — is all that glitters really gold?



Could prior bendamustine be less deleterious for bispecifics than CAR T cells?

• Spanish study in FL suggests possibly; larger cohort study planned



lacoboni, G, et al. ASH 2023.

Could a bispecific reasonably precede CAR T cells?

Spanish study in DLBCL suggests possibly; larger cohort study planned

Median follow-up of 10.5 months							
Efficacy	N=47						
Best ORR (CR), %	85 (43)						
PFS, median mo (95% CI)	6.6 (2.6-NR)						
OS, median mo (95% CI)	NR (9.0-NR)						
DoR, median mo (95% CI)	8.8 (2.3-NR)						

,.....

ORR to CAR T-cell therapy according to prior response to BsAb



Conclusions

Mosunetuzumab is the current standard-of-care third-line treatment for follicular lymphoma

Soon, the decision will (likely) be mosunetuzumab vs. epcoritamab vs. odronextamab

Later, the decision will (likely) be which bispecific antibody, to combine or not to combine, and what line of therapy makes the most sense for my patient to receive a bispecific?

Future questions include:

- What is the optimal bispecific antibody treatment duration?
- Will CAR T cells become as 'easy' (AEs, \$\$\$, logistics) as bispecifics?