

08.11.2021

AN ACCREDITED CONTINUING EDUCATION SERIES WITH THE EXPERTS

Addressing Disparities in Cancer Care and Incorporating Precision **Medicine for Minority Populations**











Disparities in Cancer Care: Prostate Cancer



Moderator & Course Director

Edith Mitchell, MD, MACP, FCPP, FRCP

Clinical Professor of Medicine and Medical Oncology Department of Medical Oncology Director, Center to Eliminate Cancer Disparities Associate Director, Diversity Affairs Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center at Jefferson Health Philadelphia, Pennsylvania **116th President National Medical Association**





Presenters

Arthur Burnett, MD, MBA, FACS

Patrick C. Walsh Distinguished Professor of Urology The James Buchanan Brady Urological Institute Johns Hopkins Medicine Baltimore, Maryland

Yaw Nyame, MD, MS, MBA Department of Urology, University of Washington Medical Center Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Seattle, Washington







This activity is supported by independent educational grants from

Amgen, Astellas, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck & Co., Inc., Sanofi Genzyme, and Seagen Inc.



3 This activity is jointly provided by the University of Nebraska Medical Center and Bio Ascend

This activity is jointly provided by





ENDORSED BY

W. MONTAGUE NMA HEALTH Cobb Institute





4 This activity is jointly provided by the University of Nebraska Medical Center and Bio Ascend

Continuing Education



In support of improving patient care, this activity has been planned and implemented by University of Nebraska Medical Center and Bio Ascend. University of Nebraska Medical Center is jointly accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE), and the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC), to provide continuing education for the healthcare team.

The University of Nebraska Medical Center, Center for Continuing Education designates this live activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.





Disclosure

As a jointly accredited provider, the University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) ensures accuracy, balance, objectivity, independence, and scientific rigor in its educational activities and is committed to protecting learners from promotion, marketing, and commercial bias. All faculty, planners, and others in a position to control continuing education content participating in an accredited continuing education activity are required to disclose all financial relationships with ineligible companies. Ineligible companies are organizations whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients. The accredited provider is responsible for mitigating all relevant financial relationships in accredited continuing education. Disclosure of these commitments and/or relationships is included in these activity materials so that participants may formulate their own judgments in interpreting its content and evaluating its recommendations.

This activity may include presentations in which faculty may discuss off-label and/or investigational use of pharmaceuticals or instruments not yet FDA-approved. Participants should note that the use of products outside currently FDA-approved labeling should be considered experimental and are advised to consult current prescribing information for FDA-approved indications. All materials are included with the permission of the faculty. The opinions expressed are those of the faculty and are not to be construed as those of UNMC or Bio Ascend.



Faculty Disclosures

Edith Mitchell, MD, MACP, FCPP, FRCP Consultant: AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech, Merck & Co., Inc., Pfizer Inc., Taiho Oncology, Inc.

Clinical Research: Amgen, Genentech

Planning Committee

The following planning committee members have nothing to disclose: UNMC: Brenda Ram, CMP, CHCP Bio Ascend: Chloe Dunnam; Lucja Grajkowska, PhD; Kraig Steubing Arthur Burnett, MD, MBA, FACS Consultant: Astellas, Comphya, Futura Medical, Lilly, LLC, Myriad Genetics, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Reflexonic, LLC, Urology Times Editorial Council Investigator: Boston Scientific, National Institutes of Health Associate Editor: Andrology (Journal), International Urology and Nephrology (Journal), The Canadian Journal of Urology Owner, Product Development: MHN Biotech, Leadership Position: UroMissionWorks, Inc. Patient Care Editor: Urology Practice Journal

Yaw Nyame, MD No financial relationships to disclose.





Learning Objectives

- Review racial difference in the outcomes in patients with cancer, including patients with both hematologic and solid tumors
- Evaluate sociodemographic, physician, and hospital factors that can help identify potentially modifiable patient and health care system factors that may underlie persistent racial disparities in receipt and quality of therapy
- Develop efforts to improve access to care, enhance diversity in the healthcare workforce, navigate minority cancer patients through the healthcare system, and enhance adherence to cancer-specific best practice







Addressing Ethnic Disparities in Prostate Cancer Health Care

Arthur L. (Bud) Burnett, M.D., M.B.A., F.A.C.S. Patrick C. Walsh Distinguished Professor of Urology The James Buchanan Brady Urological Institute Johns Hopkins Medicine Baltimore, Maryland







Disclosure Statement: In accordance with ACGME policy on relevant financial disclosure, I disclose financial relationships with the following entities: Boston Scientific, Coloplast, National Institutes of Health, Novartis Pharmaceuticals











- Review basic epidemiologic statistics ٠
 - Emphasis on rates in minority populations
- Present possible sources of racial/ethnic disparity ۲
 - Multiple levels of health care system factors
- Consider unmet needs in diagnosis and management ۲
 - Attention to racial disparities









Health Disparities and the National Impact

- Definition of health disparity
 - Increased burden of an adverse health outcome or health determinant within a specific subset of the population¹
- Levels of health care system disparity²
 - Patient: genetic predispositions, cultural beliefs
 - Provider: implicit biases
 - Health care system: access

Braveman P. Annu Rev Public Health 2006:27:167-94
 Wheeler SM, Bryant AS. Obstet Gynecol Clin N Am 2017:44:1-11









Scientific Progress

- Population disparities always increase when there is scientific progress in medicine
 - This was seen when there were improvements in screening and treatment of breast and colorectal cancer.
 - It is occurring as we move into the era of precision medicine and immunotherapy.
 - New preventive interventions are less likely to cause significant disparate outcomes.

Otis Brawley MD, Cancer Control in the 21st Century, 2020









Leading Causes of Death by Sex Across Race

	NH BLACK			NH WHITE				
MALES	RANK	NO.	%	DEATH RATE*	RANK	NO.	%	DEATH RATE*
Cause of death								
Heart diseases	1	40,040	24%	267.2	1	266,981	25%	214.1
Cancer	2	35,215	21%	228.1	2	247,202	23%	190.7
Accidents (unintentional injuries)	3	12,452	7%	65.8	3	76,025	7%	72.4
Cerebrovascular diseases	4	8,114	5%	57.4	5	43,711	4%	35.8
Diabetes	5	6,976	4%	45.3	6	30,010	3%	23.6
All causes		168,742		1,088.7		1,077,329		880.6
		NH BLACK		NH WHITE				
FEMALES	RANK	NO.	%	DEATH RATE*	RANK	NO.	%	DEATH RATE*
Cause of death								
Heart diseases	1	36,563	23%	171.2	1	233,632	22%	131.3
Cancer	2	34,510	22%	156.1	2	219,262	21%	138.2
Cerebrovascular diseases	3	10,074	6%	48.0	5	63,776	6%	35.6
Diabetes	4	7,077	4%	32.8	7	23,389	2%	14.4
Alzheimer disease	5	6,126	4%	30.3	4	67,893	6%	35.6
All causes		158,057		735.4		1,056,078		635.4

Abbreviation: NH, non-Hispanic.

^{*}Rates are per 100,000 population and age adjusted to the 2000 US standard population.

Source: National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

African-American/black individuals bear a disproportionate share of the cancer burden, having the highest death rate and the lowest survival rate of any racial or ethnic group for most cancers and other leading causes of death including heart diseases, stroke and diabetes.

DeSantis CE et al. Ca Cancer J Clin 2019; 0:1-23







Leading Sites of New Cancer Cases and Deaths Among African-Americans in the United states, 2019 Estimates

	Male				Female			
	Prostate	29,570	30%		Breast	33,840	32%	
	Lung & bronchus	13,730	14%		Lung & bronchus	11,660	11%	
ses	Colon & rectum	9,880	10%	A T	Colon & rectum	9,860	9%	
Ö	Kidney & renal pelvis	5,510	6%		Uterine corpus	7,460	7%	
Ň	Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	4,590	5%		Pancreas	3,980	4%	
Estimated New Cases	Pancreas	3,690	4%		Thyroid	3,520	3%	
ted	Myeloma	3,410	3%		Myeloma	3,500	3%	
nat	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	3,400	3%		Kidney & renal pelvis	3,380	3%	
stir	Urinary bladder	3,160	3%		Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	2,910	3%	
ш	Leukemia	3,080	3%		Leukemia	2,600	2%	
	All sites	98,020			All sites	104,240		
	Male				Female			
	Male Lung & bronchus	9,280	25%		Female Lung & bronchus	7,270	20%	
		9,280 5,350	25% 15%	•		7,270 6,540	20% 18%	
s	Lung & bronchus	2		1 :	Lung & bronchus	.,		
aths	Lung & bronchus Prostate	5,350	15%	11	Lung & bronchus Breast	6,540	18%	
Deaths	Lung & bronchus Prostate Colon & rectum	5,350 3,810	15% 10%	11	Lung & bronchus Breast Colon & rectum	6,540 3,300	18% 9%	
d Deaths	Lung & bronchus Prostate Colon & rectum Pancreas	5,350 3,810 2,690	15% 10% 7%	ii	Lung & bronchus Breast Colon & rectum Pancreas	6,540 3,300 2,940	18% 9% 8%	
ated Deaths	Lung & bronchus Prostate Colon & rectum Pancreas Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	5,350 3,810 2,690 2,670	15% 10% 7% 7%		Lung & bronchus Breast Colon & rectum Pancreas Uterine corpus	6,540 3,300 2,940 2,500	18% 9% 8% 7%	
cimated Deaths	Lung & bronchus Prostate Colon & rectum Pancreas Liver & intrahepatic bile duct Stomach	5,350 3,810 2,690 2,670 1,230	15% 10% 7% 7% 3%		Lung & bronchus Breast Colon & rectum Pancreas Uterine corpus Ovary	6,540 3,300 2,940 2,500 1,400	18% 9% 8% 7% 4%	
Estimated Deaths	Lung & bronchus Prostate Colon & rectum Pancreas Liver & intrahepatic bile duct Stomach Myeloma	5,350 3,810 2,690 2,670 1,230 1,160	15% 10% 7% 3% 3%	įj	Lung & bronchus Breast Colon & rectum Pancreas Uterine corpus Ovary Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	6,540 3,300 2,940 2,500 1,400 1,350	18% 9% 8% 7% 4% 4%	
Estimated Deaths	Lung & bronchus Prostate Colon & rectum Pancreas Liver & intrahepatic bile duct Stomach Myeloma Leukemia	5,350 3,810 2,690 2,670 1,230 1,160 1,140	15% 10% 7% 3% 3% 3%	įį	Lung & bronchus Breast Colon & rectum Pancreas Uterine corpus Ovary Liver & intrahepatic bile duct Myeloma	6,540 3,300 2,940 2,500 1,400 1,350 1,200	18% 9% 8% 7% 4% 4% 3%	

DeSantis CE et al. Ca Cancer J Clin 2019; 0:1-23





Problem of Prostate Cancer: Racial Variation

- A racial variation exists with respect to the incidence and outcomes of prostate cancer
- The incidence of prostate cancer among White American men is 142.8 per 100,000 population compared with 230.8 for Black Americans, producing a rate-ratio of 1.62 for Black men.
- The mortality of prostate cancer among White American men is 22.4 per 100,000 population, compared with 54.9 for Black Americans, producing a rate-ratio of 2.45 for Black men.

Siegel R, et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2012;62(1):10-29.

ENDORSED BY

🐠 NMA HEALTH





Why Prostate Cancer?

- More likely aggressive disease...
 - Cancer of the Prostate Strategic Urologic Research Endeavor (CaPSURE) database: Black men presented at younger mean age (64.6 vs. 66.8 years), had higher median PSA (9.8 vs. 6.7 ng/ml), higher clinical Gleason Score (43% Gleason Score ≥ 7 vs. 33%), higher stage at presentation (stage ≥ T3a, or N+ or M+ 10% vs. 4%), all p < 0.01.¹
- Less likely "early detection"...
 - Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database (NCI): Most striking differences in racial and ethnic variation among all cancers were in cancers that are most amenable to early detection and/or treatment, such as prostate cancer.²
- More likely under-treatment...
 - After adjusting for individual factors such as stage, grade, socioeconomic status and comorbidity, differences in treatment patterns persist.³⁻⁵
- 1. Latini DM et al. Cancer 2006; 106: 789-95.
- 2. Tehranifar P et al. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009; 18: 2701-8.
- 3. Zeliadt SB et al. Urology 2004; 64: 1171-6.
 - THIS ACTIVITY IS JOINTLY PROVIDED BY





4. Lyratzopoulos G et al. BMJ 2010; 340: c1928.

5. Du XL et al. Cancer 2011; 117: 3242-51



Social Determinants of Health: Definition and Significance

- The conditions in the environments where people are born, live, learn, work, play, worship, and age that affect a range of health, functioning, and quality-of-life outcomes and risks
- Shape 80-90% of the modifiable contributors to population health outcomes (versus 10-20% of health dictated by medical care)

Centers for Disease Control U.S. Department of Health and Human Services









Social Determinants of Health: Possible Considerations

- Access to education
- Access to health care
- Access to nutritious food
- Availability of employment
- Availability of health care providers
- Discrimination

- Early childhood education
- Educational attainment
- Food security
- Health insurance status
- Housing and housing security
- Income

- Literacy/health literacy
- Neighborhood safety
- Opportunities for higher education
- Social support
- Stress
- Transportation options







Multiple Factors Associated with Racial Variation in Prostate Cancer Outcomes

- Disease Factors
 - Grade
 - Stage
 - Volume
 - PSA

- Patient Factors
 - Values/beliefs/preferences
 - Demographics
 - Co-morbidities
 - Education
 - Access to care

- Provider Factors
 - Experience
 - Specialty
 - Case Volume
 - Practice Setting
 - Geographic Location

Zeliadt SB, et al. Cancer. 2006;106(9):1865-1874.







Putative Factors associated with Decreased Utilization of Definitive Care in Ethnic Populations

- Treatment Selection Bias
 - "Watchful waiting" was administered an increased 1.4 x odds ratio in African–Americans¹
 - "Shared decision making" occurred "very often" in 26% of African-Americans vs. 52% of Caucasian-Americans²
- Treatment Delays
 - Proposed but may not be an issue in equal-access centers³
- Lack of Treatment Access
 - Lack of health insurance
 - Lack of available medical facilities
- Systemic Barriers
 - Low volume urologists⁴
 - Hospital racial composition (high proportion of Black patients)⁵
 - 1. Shavers VL et al. Gen Intern Med 2004;19(2):146-55.
 - 2. Rim SH et al. Ing J Gen Med 2011; 4:481-6.
 - 3. Banez LL et al. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18(4):1208-1212.

4. Pollack CE, et al. Med Care. 2011;49(11):999-1006.

5. Pollack CE, et al. Cancer. 2011;117(24):5569-5578.

THIS ACTIVITY IS JOINTLY PROVIDED BY







Racial Disparity after Radical Prostatectomy: Yes or No?

- Survival outcomes equivalence
 - If localized prostate cancer is treated adequately and appropriately across all grades and stages ¹⁻³
- Survival outcomes non-equivalence
 - Black men had significantly shorter overall and cancerspecific survival times, regardless of treatment and after adjustment for multiple covariates ^{4,5}
- 1. Klein JB et al. J Natl Med Assoc 2010; 102: 108-17.
- 2. Merrill RM, Lyon JL. Urology 2000; 55: 730-5.
- 3. Resnick MJ et al. Oncol Urol 2009; 73: 620-3.
- 4. Cohen JH et al. Cancer Causes Control 2006; 17: 803-11.
- 5. Godley PA et al. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003; 95: 1702-10.









Sexual Dysfunction Outcomes Disparity?

- Management of prostate cancer has effects on quality of life
 - Impact for all men receiving prostate cancer treatment
- Relevance in the African-American community (high prostate cancer-risk)
 - Possible influence on clinical management decisions associated with survival outcomes









Evidence Synthesis

- Conflicting evidence regarding the extent and quality-of-life significance of sexual dysfunction following prostate cancer treatments in African-American men, relative to ethnically difference counterparts
- Limitations/biases of studies:
 - variations in study types and designs
 - retrospective data accrual or surveys (recall bias)
 - relatively low inclusion numbers of African-American men
 - uncertain methodologic rigor to ascertain outcomes
- Likely conclusion: The extent of sexual dysfunction effects may not differ between ethnic groups, but the
 psychosocial impact may differ.

Bottom line: Accuracy of conclusions to date may be called into question until further investigation is undertaken.







Take-Action Considerations

- Pattern of Care Improvements
 - Early detection, diagnosis and treatment
 - Access: health insurance, healthcare administration
- Quality of Care Improvements
 - Adherence to quality indicators across structure, process and outcome domains
- Research Endeavors
 - Elucidation of risk factors for disparities (biological, genetic, social, environmental, dietary, lifestyle)
 - Discrimination between high vs. low risk disease
 - Discovery of biomarkers, technologies etc.







Cancer Standard of Care

PROSTATE CANCER ²
√ DRE
√ PSA
√ Grade (Gleason)
√Molecular Marker
√ Germline Testing
MRI?

1. NCCN Guidelines Version 5.2020 Breast Cancer

2. NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2020 Prostate Cancer

THIS ACTIVITY IS JOINTLY PROVIDED BY







Germline Testing: Premise and Advantages

- Clinical and pathological features are limited at predicting outcomes
- (55% concordance of biopsy and surgical Gleason scores)
- Hereditary cancer comprises 14-17% of prostate cancer (12-14% of breast cancer)
- Tumor biology impacts the aggressiveness level and progression of prostate cancer
- Determination of germline mutation status improves initial and future treatment decisions for every man with prostate cancer (e.g., qualification for targeted therapies)
- Clinically actionable information may be derived for prevention/early detection of other cancers and direction for health care of at-risk family members





ENDORSED BY

Consortium on Disparities of Urologic Conditions (ConDUC)

- An urgent need exists for a longitudinal, observational, prospective database with predominantly African American men having prostate cancer
- Registry-based clinical research in prostate cancer is critical to advancing quality in disease management and ultimately in reducing disparate outcomes of this disease in those affected
- A major limitation of current national databases, prognostic tables, and nomograms is the lack of ethnic diversity







Scientific Consortium on PCa Education Registry (SCOPE): Exploratory Endpoints

- Describe longitudinal trends of prostate cancer incidence and mortality
- Develop risk predictions and stratification models
- Assess quality of life outcomes
- Identify risk factors associated with treatment outcomes
- Develop a network that will facilitate African American (physicians and patients) participation in clinical trials for prostate cancer







Racial Disparities in Prostate Cancer: A Patient-Centered Approach

Yaw A. Nyame, MD, MS, MBA

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Department of Urology, University of Washington Medical Center Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center



- Andy Hill Cancer Research Endowment Distinguished Scholar Award
- Department of Defense Prostate Cancer Research Program Post-Doctoral and Physician Research Awards
- PNW SPORE Career Enhancement Award

Objectives

- Review relationship between Black race and prostate cancer outcomes
- Discuss conceptual model for reducing prostate cancer disparities
- Introduce patient-centered approach to eradicating prostate cancer disparities

Population, Geography, History and rostate Cancer?





US Black Population, County Level



Source: US Census Bureau, Population Estimates, July 1, 2016. Released 2017.

©2019, American Cancer Society, Inc., Surveillance Research

Status of Medicaid Expansion


US Poverty by County in 2019



Variation in Genetic Ancestry in US Black Men



Bryc K et al, 2015, Am J Human Genetics

What are some drivers of disparities in prostate cancer outcomes?

Social Determinants of Health





What does this look like in 2020/21?



The Perfect Storm



7477



social factors

structural/societal barriers

mistrust/distrust

health system barriers

HIGHER MORTALITY

How can we reduce racial disparities in prostate cancer?

A Conceptual Model for Studying Prostate Cancer Disparities



1. How inequitable are current practices? And why are they inequitable?

2. What is equitable practice?

3. What is the cost/benefit/harm of achieving equitable practice?



How inequitable is prostate cancer screening by race?

Rates of Screening by Race



Kensler KH et al, 2020, JNCI

But ...



What is an equitable prostate cancer screening practice for Black men?

Equal Opportunity for Benefit



Disease onset in Black men occurs at younger age

What are the implications of different natural history by race on PSA screening?

Equal Opportunity for Benefit

Cumulative incidence* of latent prostate cancer that would be lethal if left untreated



Opportunity to benefit from early detection occurs at earlier age

*Survival in the absence of screening or treatment is based on pre-PSA-era diagnoses by race

Tsodikov et al, Cancer, 2017

What defines equitable?

Outcomes of Other Intensified Strategies for Black Men



Strategies Modeled in Black Men

- Historical Screening (40-84 years) at pop. freq. and historical biopsy (40% of positive tests)
- Historical Screening ages with annual PSA and historical biopsy
- Intensified Screening (annual, 40-69 years) and historical biopsy
- Intensified Screening (annual, 40-69 years) and intensified biopsy (100% of positive tests)

Harm & Benefit of Various PSA Screening Strategies



Change in prostate cancer incidence

H/H is Historical frequency/Historical biopsy; A/H is Annual frequency/Historical biopsy; A/P is Annual frequency/Perfect biopsy.

Nyame YA et al, JNCI, 2021

Harm & Benefit of Various PSA Screening Strategies



Nyame YA et al, JNCI, 2021

Same screening strategy → potentially different outcomes of benefit and harm

Equitable strategy may call for potentially earlier and more intense screening in Black Men

But ...

Can we translate these theoretical findings into real world change?

And if so... How?

Achieving the "Impossible"



Closing the Disparity Gap

New Data

New Trials New Cohorts

What are our barriers to research participation by Black men?

Barriers to equity in prostate cancer care and research



Transgenerational Trauma:

- Medical Mistrust
- Cultural Health Beliefs
- The "Medical Material"

Social Determinants of Equity

Social Determinants of Health

Nobody Has Asked

Building Community and Empowering Patients

Community Engagement and Patient Centered Research



http://www.pcori.org/sites/default/files/Engagement-Rubric.pdf

BACPAC: Black and African Descent Collaborative for Prostate Cancer Action







Community Partners: Ben Young, Victor Tolbert

Research Team: Dante Morehead, Jenney Lee, and Elizabeth Austin

BACPAC Initiatives

Research Prioritization

Patient Advocate Researchers

Trial and Cohort Design



3%



A patient-centered approach is our key to translating our studies into solutions

Acknowledgements

Fred Hutch

Ruth Etzioni, PhD Roman Gulati, MS Jane Lange, PhD

University of Washington

John Gore, MD, MS Sarah Holt, PhD

CISNET Collaborators

Office of Community Outreach and Engagement (FHCRC)

Funding

Department of Defense CDMRP (YN) WA State Andy Hill CARE Fund (YN) PNW SPORE Career Enhancement (YN) National Cancer Institute (RE, RG)





AN ACCREDITED CONTINUING EDUCATION SERIES WITH THE EXPERTS

Addressing Disparities in Cancer Care and Incorporating Precision Medicine for Minority Populations









